Original Article

Analysis of porcine macrophage immune response to antigenic molecules and short chain fatty acids

Na-Eun Han¹, Eun-Joo Lee¹, Kwan-Sik Park¹, In-Sook Jeon¹, Hak-Kyo Lee², Ki-Duk Song², Joong-Kook Choi^{1*}

¹Division of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 362-763, Korea ²Department of Animal Biotechnology, Chonbuk National University, Iksan 570-752, Korea

Macrophages play an important role in both the innate and adaptive immune responses. These include phagocytosis, killing of microorganisms, antigen presentation, and induction of immune cytokines and antimicrobial genes. Macrophage activity is reported to be controlled by diverse exogenous antigenic or endogenous metabolic molecules, and the underlying mechanisms are well documented in human and mouse macrophage cells. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is known to be one of the most potent stimuli activating macrophages through the toll like receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling pathway. There are other antigenic molecules, such as muramyl dipeptide (MDP) and outer membrane protein A (OmpA), that are also known to activate immune cells. On the other hand, short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) such as acetate and butyrate are produced by gut microbiota and control host energy metabolism and signal transduction through GPR receptors. However, there are few studies demonstrating the effects of these molecules in macrophages from domestic animals, including domestic pigs. In this study, we attempted to characterize gene expression regulation in porcine macrophages (PoM2, Pig Monocytes clone 2) following treatment with LPS, MDP, OmpA, and two short chain fatty acids using porcine genome microarray and RT-PCR techniques. A number of novel porcine genes, including anti-microbial peptides and others, appeared to be regulated at the transcriptional level. Our study reports novel biomarkers such as SLC37A2, TMEN184C, and LEAP2 that are involved in the porcine immune response to bacterial antigen LPS and two short chain fatty acids.

Key words: LPS, macrophage, immune response, DNA microarray, short chain fatty acid

Introduction

The immune system in humans is classified into two

subsystems, innate versus adaptive immune and humoral versus cell-mediated immunity. The immune system constantly interacts with pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria, including Gram-negative/positive bacteria [1]. Although commensal strains such as lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria are tolerated in the gut, pathogenic strains are restrained by the immune system. However, neither commensals nor pathogenic bacteria are tolerated by the immune system outside of the gastrointestinal track. Commensal bacteria are reported to inhibit growth of pathogenic bacteria through acidic metabolites and subsequent creation of an acidic gut environment [2].

Bacterial infection across the intestinal surface barrier into the underlying gut tissue stimulates activation of nearby immune cells by bacterial antigens such as peptidoglycan, outer membrane protein A (OmpA), flagella, and bacterial DNA [3-5]. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)/OmpA and muramyl dipeptide (MDP) are structural components of pathogenic bacteria that act as potent antigenic activators of the immune system as well as downstream signal toll like receptor (TLR) pathways [6-9]. On the other hand, short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are known to be the most abundant microbial metabolites in the intestine, leading to activation of MAPK signaling and rapid production of chemokines and cytokines [10]. These pathways mediate protective immunity and tissue inflammation in mice [11]. Acetic or butyric acids are known to induce unique intracellular pH changes [12] or anti-inflammatory activity [13].

Macrophages, a type of white blood cells found in all tissues, engulf and digest cellular debris, foreign substances, microbes, and cancer cells in a process called phagocytosis [14]. Macrophages play a critical role in non-specific defense (innate immunity) and help initiate specific defense mechanisms (adaptive immunity) by

^{*}Corresponding author: Joong-Kook Choi,

Division of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 362-763, Korea Tel: +82-43-261-2844, Fax: +82-43-273-9710, E-mail: jkchoi@chungbuk.ac.kr

recruiting other immune cells such as lymphocytes. In addition, they play an important anti-inflammatory role and can reduce immune reactions through release of cy-tokines. However, most of these studies were performed in human lymphocytes, including macrophages [15].

There is a need to identify novel biomarkers of the immune response in domestic animals. In the present study, we attempted to characterize the gene expression profile of porcine macrophages treated with LPS/OmpA/MDP or acetic/butyric acid by DNA microarray and RT-PCR assay.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents

Monocytes/macrophages from pig blood (PoM2) (Pig Monocytes clone 2) were established at the University of Maribor Slovenia (Gradišnik et al., 2006). They were grown in advanced Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Grand Island, USA), supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), L-glutamine (2 mM, Sigma), penicillin (100 U/mL, Sigma), and streptomycin (1 mg/mL, Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland).

LPS and MDP were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Mo., USA). OmpA antigen was kindly provided by Professor Kang, Ho Young at Pusan National University.

DNA Microarray

AffymetrixGeneChip[®] Porcine Genome Array was used to analyze the gene expression profile of the porcine macrophage cell line-Pom2. After stimulation with bacterial antigen such as LPS, OmpA, or MDP for 6 hrs, total RNAs were extracted with an RNA Blood Mini Kit



Fig. 1. Analysis of differentially expressed porcine genes in response to bacterial antigens. Pig Monocytes clone 2 was treated with three bacterial antigens, including LPS, MDP, and OmpA, under the conditions indicated in the Materials and Methods. Subsequently, PoM2 cells were lysed and total RNAs extracted for gene expression analysis by AffymetrixGeneChip[®] Porcine Genome Array. The number of genes showing at least 2-fold differentially regulated expression in response to the three antigens is presented in each circle of the diagram.

(QIAGEN, USA) for analysis of differentially expressed genes by an AffymetrixGeneChip[®] Scanner 3000 7G, Affymetrix Command Console1.1 and MAS5 Normalization (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea).

Reversre Transcriptase (RT) PCR

POM2 cells were seeded in a 35-mm dish at a density of 2×10^5 cells/well overnight, followed by treatment with PBS, MDP (1 µg/mL), LPS (1 µg/mL), or OmpA (5 µg/mL) for 6 hrs. Total RNAs were extracted with an RNA Blood Mini Kit (QIAGEN, USA) before cDNA synthesis with AccuPower rocket script RT/PCR premix (Bioneer) and 10 ng of total RNA and 10 µM of 5' and 3' primers (each) in a total volume of 20 µL. PCR reaction was as follows: cDNA synthesis for 42°C/40 min and pre-denaturation for 95°C/5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 72°C/30 sec. PCR reaction (10 µL) was run on 1.5% agarose gels for electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide for image analysis.

 Table 1. List of representative porcine genes expressed differentially by at least 2-fold in response to LPS stimulation of PoM2 cells

UniGene ID	Gene Title	Folds Change
Ssc.10776	pappalysinPappalysin-2 like	3.3
Ssc.5887	SLC37A2 (Sugar phosphate exchanger 2-like)	2.6
Ssc.48532	TMEM184C (Transmembrane protein 184C)	2.7
Ssc.15990	retinoid Retinoid X receptor, alpha	2.1
Ssc.52038	CD1d molecule	2.6
AW414558	protein Protein capicua homolog	2.2
Ssc.18952	Ig alpha heavy chain	2.2
CK455989	MAP1B (microtubule-associated protein 1B)	2.3
Ssc.22131	PCA (Putative cation transporting ATPase)	2.9
Ssc.12344	RhoJ-like	2.3
Ssc.39853	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta	2.1
Ssc.54823	perlecan Perlecan (heparan sulfate proteoglycan 2)	2.0
Ssc.5924	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 3	2.0
Ssc.7825	interleukin Interleukin 23	3.8
Ssc.8222	L-threonine O-3-phosphate decarboxylase	3.0
Ssc.9629	dual Dual specific tyr-phos-regu- lated kinase 2-like	2.5

Results

Analysis of Differentially Expressed Porcine Genes

Gene expression assay using immune cells is a common way to investigate the diverse roles of macrophages in bacterial infections or antigenic challenges. Thus, we chose DNA microarray to chart the expression levels of porcine genes in response to bacterial antigens such as LPS, MDP, and OmpA. Pom2 macrophage cells were treated for 6 hrs with each antigen, after which total RNAs were extracted for GeneChip® Porcine Genome Array analysis. Of about 20,000 genes in the array, a total of novel 326 genes were found to be differentially expressed by at least 2-fold upon antigen stimulation compared to the control. Among these, LPS differentially regulated the expression of total 108 genes; 86 genes were up-regulated and 22 genes were down-regulated (Fig. 1). The list in Table 1 shows 16 novel genes representing diverse biological processes that were well annotated and up-regulated by at least 2-fold upon LPS stimulation. Pappalysin-2 is a metalloproteinase involved in cartilage development and angiogenesis without any known role in the immune response. SLC37A2 (Sugar phosphate exchanger) is a novel gene involved in glucose 6 phosphate transport but without any apparent role in the immune response. IL-23 along with IL-17 may participate in an acute response to infection in peripheral tissues, whereas CD1d is known to mediate presentation of primarily lipid and glycolipid antigens of self or microbial origin to T cells. Expression levels of the 16 genes above were also up-regulated by 2-folds upon MDP and OmpA stimulation.

Gene Expression Analysis of Porcine Genes identified in DNA microarray by RT-PCR

Based on the list of up-regulated porcine genes, we confirmed the DNA microarray data by RT-PCR. Using the gene-specific primers shown in Table 2, 10 novel porcine genes along with one control GAPDH gene were chosen for RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 2). Pom2 macrophage cells were stimulated with each of the three antigens above

Table 2. List of PCR primers of genes identified from porcine DNA microarray analysis

NCBI No.	Gene	Gene symbol	Sequences of primers (5'-3')	Location	Size of amplified products (bp)
Ssc.5887	Sugar phosphate exchanger 2-like	SLC37A2	CAGAAGACAGCCAGGACCTC	1031	249
			CAGCCTCCTTGGCAGTAAAG	1279	
Ssc.10776	Pappalysin-2 like	PAPPA2	CCTTGGGCTTATCTTCCACA	133	205
			TCCCCTGAAACTCAACTGCT	337	
Ssc.15990	Retinoid X receptor, alpha	RXR-alpha	CTGCAAGGGCTTCTTCAAAC	199	206
			TGGACTCCACCTCGTTCTCT	404	
Ssc.18952	Immunoglobulin alpha heavy chain constant region	IGHA	ATGCTTCAGCTGCTCCATCT	263	203
			GGCCTCTGTGTCTTCCTGTC	465	
Ssc.22131	Putative cation transporting ATPase, P-type	PCA1	TCACCAAGTGAAGGTTCGTG	423	171
			CCAAAGGCACTGATCACAGA	593	
Ssc.48532	Transmembrane protein 184C, inhibit cell growth	TMEM184C	CCCATGGGTCACTATCAAGG	1727	221
			GGCTTGTCCCAAGCATAAAA	1947	
Ssc.52038	CD1d molecule	CD1d	CACGTCTCTGGCTTCTACCC	902	204
			GATGATGTCCTGGCCTCCTA	1105	
AW414558	Protein capicua homolog		TCACAGTACCCCCTCAGGAC	1100	245
			GAACGTAACACGCTCCAGGT	1344	
CK455989	Microtubule-associated protein 1B	MAP1B	TTCCAGAAAATGGGAGTTGG	1347	217
			TTTTCTCTGCAGGGTTTGCT	1563	
Ssc. 4770	Syntaxin binding protein 5		TTCCATTTGTCCTTGGCTCT	149	232
			GAAATGCAAACCAAGGGAAT	380	
ssc. GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phos- phate dehydrogenase	GAPDH	GTCGGTTGTGGATCTGACCT	714	210
			AGCTTGACGAAGTGGTCGTT	923	

before total RNA extraction. Out of the 10 candidate genes for bacterial antigen responsive biomarkers, SL-C37A2, PAPPA2, TMEM 184C, and Syntaxin-binding protein 5 (Stx5) were shown to be up-regulated by RT-PCR. Although the expression level of porcine Stx5 was modestly up-regulated in the DNA microarray analysis (1.2-fold), expression of its human homolog increased by more than 4-fold in human macrophage THP1 cells compared to the negative control (results from another DNA microarray experiment and data not shown). Further, its expression level was up-regulated by an average of 5-fold in response to each antigen, as shown in Fig. 2.

Regardless of the class of each antigen (lipopolysaccharide vs proteinaceous), the three antigens had similar effects on the expression of the four genes (Fig. 2). However, it is unclear why the RXR-alpha, IGHA, PCA1, CD1d, protein capicua homolog, and MAP1B genes did not show any differential expression patterns compared to the control.

Expression Profile of Porcine Antimicrobial Genes

SCFAs are abundant in the intestines of vertebrates and are proposed to play a role in the immune response in



Fig. 2. RT-PCR analysis of porcine genes identified in DNA microarray. The differentially up-regulated genes identified by DNA microarray analysis were investigated by RT-PCR using gene-specific primers as indicated in Table 1. As a control, porcine GAPDH gene was included. PoM2 cells were stimulated for 6 hrs as indicated in the Materials and Methods, followed by RNA extraction by an RNA Blood Mini Kit for RT-PCR. Out of 10 porcine genes, SLC37A2, PAPPA2, TMEM184C, and Syntaxin-binding protein 5 were found to be up-regulated, whereas expression of the other five genes remained unchanged.

addition to their direct anti-microbial activity. Therefore, we investigated the effects of two major SCFAs, acetic and butyric acid, on expression of major porcine antimicrobial peptide (AMP) genes. Concentrations of acids were determined based on the minimal amount of each acid that lowered the pH of the culture media (DMEM with 10% FBS) and induced a color change by the pH indicator phenol red. The pH levels of the culture media containing 0.5, 2, and 5 mM of each acid were 8.41, 8.22, and 7.86 for acetic acid as well as 8.12, 8.04, and 7.75 for butyric acid, respectively, whereas the pH of control DMEM with 10% FBS was 8.37.

The porcine genome has been sequenced, but its annotation is incomplete. Professor Kim, Herbal at Seoul National Univ. helped us find human homologs of the antimicrobial genes listed in Table 3. Pom2 cells were treated with the acids at final concentrations of 0.5, 2, and 5mM for 15 hrs before total RNA extraction and RT-PCR analysis using gene-specific primers (Table 3). Out of seven porcine AMP genes, including PMAP-23, NPG1, LEAP2, NPG3, BPIFA1, PSAP, and DEFB1, expression of three genes (PMAP23, NPG1, and LEAP2) was up-regulated by butyric and acetic acids. Butyric acid appeared to have better activity than acetic acid in this experiment.

Discussion

Identification of biomarkers is regarded as an important step towards disease characterization and management of disease in animals. Post-genomic technologies have promoted the development of strategies aimed at identifying



Fig. 3. Expression analysis of porcine antimicrobial genes in response to acetic and butyric acids. Pom2 cells $(1 \times 10^{6}/\text{mL})$ were treated with 0.5, 2, or 5 mM of acetic or butyric acid for 15 hrs and then washed once with PBS before cell harvesting and one-step RT-PCR reaction according to the manufacturer's protocol (Bioneer premix kit) using gene-specific primers (as indicated in Table 2). Control (Cont.) cells were treated with PBS and subjected to the same procedure as above. The number in the bracket after the gene name indicates the expected PCR product size. Porcine GAPDH was included as a control.

specific and sensitive biomarkers from the thousands of molecules present in tissues or biological fluids [16].

In this study, we searched for porcine biomarkers showing induced expression in response to three bacterial antigens (LPS, MDP, and OmpA) as well as bacterial metabolites of short chain fatty acids (acetic and butyric acid).

Porcine DNA microarray analysis of Pom2 cells stimulated by these three antigens revealed a total of 326 porcine genes showing at least 2-fold increased expression (Fig. 1). Expression of 16 genes increased by 2-fold regardless of the type of antigen; LPS and MDP are components of peptidoglycan, whereas OmpA is the major protein in the outer membrane region. We also examined half of the 16 representative genes by RT-PCR. Out of 10 porcine genes, four genes (SLC37A2, PAPPA2, TMEM184C, and Stx5) showed differential expression (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Although we used the same RNA samples for DNA microarray and RT-PCR experiments, it is unclear why the other six genes did not show differential expression. There might have been differences in the probing region of each transcript by either the probes of the DNA microarray or RT-PCR primers. Splicing variations might also have caused these differences. Recently, the expression of 22 inducible genes, which include VCAM1, HMOX1, and Serglycin, was reported to be induced in response to Salmonella or LPS in porcine alveolar macrophages. Interestingly, 13 genes, including IL1-a/-b, CD14, OPN, and VCAM, were found to be putative NF-kappaB targets [17]. However, there is no report on the role of NFkB in the transcriptional regulation of the four genes identified in this study (SLC37A2, PAPPA2, TMEM184C, and Stx5).

SCFAs have been reported to be major intestinal microbial metabolites and include acetic/butyric acids. These appear to be absorbed into the body either through specific receptors or passively. Most SCFA studies have focused on their direct inhibitory effects on pathogenic microbes [18], but recent studies have investigated their effects on the host metabolism, including gluconeogenesis, insulin secretion, and fatty acid metabolism [19-21].

Until now, there is no report that describes their effect on innate immunity. Therefore, we studied the effects of SCFAs on expression of a set of antimicrobial peptide (AMP) genes in Pom2 porcine macrophage cells (Table 3). Out of five porcine homologs of human AMP genes investigated by RT-PCR, three genes (PMAP23, NPG1, and LEAP2) were found to be up-regulated by both acetic and butyric acids (Fig. 3). It remains to be characterized which signaling pathway is involved in the modulation of AMP expression and the innate immune response against any invading microbe. It will be interesting to compare the innate immune response between human and porcine macrophages for either antigens or bacterial pathogens. In conclusion, we report here a set of novel porcine biomarkers involved in the innate immune response of porcine macrophages. This finding may lead us to better understand the porcine immune response and develop diagnostic biomarkers for the prevention or diagnosis of pathogenic bacteria such as Salmonella typhimurium.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the research grant of Chungbuk National University in 2012 (to JK Choi) and

NCBI No.	Gene	Gene symbol	Sequences of primers (5'-3')	Location	Size of amplified products (bp)
ENSSS- CG00000021877	Antibacterial protein	PMAP-23	TCACGGTGAAGGAGACTGTG	246	201
			GTTTCTGTGGCCGACGTACT	446	
ENSSS- CG00000023733	Protegrin 1	NPG1	TAGGTTCTGCGTCTGTGTCG	420	138
			TTCACCGTCTACCAGGGAAC	557	
ENSSS- CG00000014290	Liver expressed antimi- crobial peptide 2	LEAP2	AACTGAGTTCCGCCAAGAGA	105	
			TGTCGCAGGTAAGTGCTGTC	299	195
			TTCACCGTCTACCAGGGAAC	557	
ENSSS- CG00000010281	Prosaposin	PSAP	TCTGTGAGGTGTGCAAGAGG	1241	188
			CATCACCTCCACCAGGATCT	1428	
ENSSS- CG00000020997	Defensin, beta 1	DEFB1	GGAAGAGGCTCAGTCAGTGG	210	231
			GGCACAGGTAACAGGACCAT	440	
ssc. GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phos- phate dehydrogenase	GAPDH	GTCGGTTGTGGATCTGACCT	714	210
			AGCTTGACGAAGTGGTCGTT	923	

Table 3. Porcine antimicrobial peptide genes identified through comparison of porcine genes with human homologs

by grants from the Next-Generation BioGreen 21 Program (No. PJ01104401 and PJ01110901), Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea (to HK Lee and KD Song respectively).

ORCID

Joong-Kook Choi, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0455-971X

References

- Jacobs JP, Braun J. Immune and genetic gardening of the intestinal microbiome. FEBS Lett 2014;588:4102-4111.
- Fayol-Messaoudi D, Berger CN, Coconnier-Polter MH, Liévin-Le Moal V, Servin AL. pH-, Lactic Acid-, and Non-Lactic Acid-Dependent Activities of Probiotic Lactobacilli against Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:6008-6013.
- Weintraub A. Immunology of bacterial polysaccharide antigens. Carbohydr Res 2003;338:2539-2547.
- Duan Q, Zhou M, Zhu X, Bao W, Wu S, Ruan X, Zhang W, Yang Y, Zhu J, Zhu G. The flagella of F18ab Escherichia coli is a virulence factor that contributes to infection in a IPEC-J2 cell model in vitro. Vet Microbiol 2012;160:132-140.
- Srikanth CV, Cherayil BJ. Intestinal innate immunity and the pathogenesis of Salmonella enteritis. Immunol Res 2007;37:61-78.
- Bhowmick R, Pore D, Chakrabarti MK. Outer membrane protein A (OmpA) of Shigella flexneri 2a induces TLR2-mediated activation of B cells: involvement of protein tyrosine kinase, ERK and NF-kappaB. PLoS One 2014;9:e109107.
- 7. Bode JG, Ehlting C, Haussinger D. The macrophage response towards LPS and its control through the p38(MAPK)-STAT3 axis. Cell Signal 2012;24:1185-1194.
- Lee SI, Kang SK, Jung HJ, Chun YH, Kwon YD, Kim EC. Muramyl dipeptide activates human beta defensin 2 and pro-inflammatory mediators through Toll-like receptors and NLRP3 inflammasomes in human dental pulp cells. Clin Oral Invest 2015;19:1419-1428.
- Lu YC, Yeh WC, Ohashi PS. LPS/TLR4 signal transduction pathway. Cytokine 2008;42:145-151.
- 10. Natarajan N, Pluznick JL. From microbe to man: the role

of microbial short chain fatty acid metabolites in host cell biology. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2014;307:979-985.

- Kim MH, Kang SG, Park JH, Yanagisawa M, Kim CH. Short-chain fatty acids activate GPR41 and GPR43 on intestinal epithelial cells to promote inflammatory responses in mice. Gastroenterology 2013;145:396-406.
- Ishii S, Kishi M, Yamagami K, Okada S, Abe K, Misaka T. The use of mammalian cultured cells loaded with a fluorescent dye shows specific membrane penetration of undissociated acetic acid. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2012;76:523-529.
- Mishiro T, Kusunoki, R, Otani A, Ansary MM, Tongu M, Harashima N, Yamada T, Sato S, Amano Y, Itoh K, Ishihara S, Kinoshita Y. Butyric acid attenuates intestinal inflammation in murine DSS-induced colitis model via milk fat globule-EGF factor 8. Lab Invest 2013;93:834-843.
- Artis D, Spits H. The biology of innate lymphoid cells. Nature 2015;517:293-301.
- 15. Bain CC, Mowat AM. The monocyte-macrophage axis in the intestine. Cell Immunol 2014;291:41-48.
- Moore RE, Kirwan J, Doherty MK, Whitfield PD. Biomarker discovery in animal health and disease: the application of post-genomic technologies. Biomark Insights 2007;2:185-196.
- Kyrova K, Stepanova H, Rychlik I, Polansky O, Leva L, Sekelova Z, Faldyna M, Volf J. The response of porcine monocyte derived macrophages and dendritic cells to Salmonella Typhimurium and lipopolysaccharide. BMC Vet Res 2014;10:244-252.
- den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud DJ, Bakker BM. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. J Lipid Res 2013;54:2325-2340.
- Hijova E, Chmelarova A. Short chain fatty acids and colonic health. Bratisl Lek Listy 2007;108:354-358.
- Sakakibara S, Yamauchi T, Oshima Y, Tsukamoto Y, Kadowaki T. Acetic acid activates hepatic AMPK and reduces hyperglycemia in diabetic KK-A(y) mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2006;344:597-604.
- Ge H, Li X, Weiszmann J, Wang P, Baribault H, Chen JL, Tian H, Li Y. Activation of G protein-coupled receptor 43 in adipocytes leads to inhibition of lipolysis and suppression of plasma free fatty acids. Endocrinology 2008;149:4519-4526.